arXiv:1407.0918v1 [math.DS] 3 Jul 2014

A QRT-system of two order one homographic difference equations: conjugation to rotations, periods of periodic solutions, sensitiveness to initial conditions

Guy Bastien and Marc Rogalski

Abstract We study the "homographic" system of order one difference equations in $\mathbb{R}_*^{+2}$

$$u_{n+1}u_n = c + \frac{d}{v_n}, \quad v_{n+1}v_n = c + \frac{d}{u_{n+1}},$$

for $c,d > 0$. We prove that the orbit $(M_n)_n = \big((u_n, v_n)\big)_n$ of a point $M_0 = (u_0, v_0)$ is contained in an invariant cubic curve, and that the restriction to the positive part of this cubic of the associated dynamical system is conjugated to a rotation on the circle. For a dense invariant set of initial points the solutions are periodic, and if $c = 1$ (this is always possible) every integer $n \geq N(d)$ is the minimal period of some periodic solution. Moreover, every $n \geq 11$ is the minimal period of some solution for some $d > 0$, and we find exactly the set of such minimal periods between 2 and 10. We study the associated dynamical system, and prove that there is a chaotic behavior on every compact set of $\mathbb{R}_*^{+2}$ not containing the equilibrium.

Keywords: difference equations, periodic solutions, sensitiveness to initial conditions

1 A geometric definition for an homographic system of difference equations

First we remark that in the system of the abstract we can suppose $c = 1$ (put $u_n = u'_n\sqrt{c}$ and $v_n = v'_n\sqrt{c}$). From now on we take $c = 1$.

Guy Bastien
Institut Mathématique de Jussieu-Paris Rive Gauche, University Pierre et Marie Curie and CNRS, e-mail: guy.bastien@imj-prg.fr

Marc Rogalski
Laboratoire Paul Painlevé, University of Lille 1 and CNRS, and IMJ-PRG e-mail: marc.rogalski@upmc.fr

1.1 From a family of cubic curves to a system of difference equations

Let be the family of cubic curves $\mathscr{C}_K$ in the plane, equations of which are

$$xy(x+y)+(x+y)+d-Kxy=0, \quad \text{with} \quad d>0,\ K\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{1}$$

We define a map $F:\mathbb{R}_*^{+2}\to\mathbb{R}_*^{+2}$ by the following geometric construction: if $M=(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}_*^{+2}$, we consider the curve $\mathscr{C}_K$ which contains M; the horizontal line passing through M cuts $\mathscr{C}_K$ in a second point M'; now the vertical line passing through M' cuts again $\mathscr{C}_K$, and this intersection is $F(M)$ (remark that the infinite points in horizontal and vertical directions are on the curve). It is easy to see that $F(x,y):=(X,Y)$ is defined by

$$\begin{cases} Xx=1+\dfrac{d}{y}, \\ Yy=1+\dfrac{d}{X} \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

or

$$(X,Y)=\Big(\frac{y+d}{xy},\frac{dxy+y+d}{y(y+d)}\Big). \tag{3}$$

The map F is defined on $\mathbb{R}_*^{+2}$, with values in $\mathbb{R}_*^{+2}$, and it is easy to see that F is an homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}_*^{+2}$ onto itself, satisfying

$$F^{-1}=S\circ F\circ S, \tag{4}$$

where S is the symmetry with respect to the diagonal. By definition the cubic curves $\mathscr{C}_K$ are *invariant* under the action of F, and the quantity

$$G(x,y):=x+y+\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{y}+\frac{d}{xy} \tag{5}$$

is *invariant* under the action of F: the curve $\mathscr{C}_K$ is the K-level set of G.

If $M_0:=(u_0,v_0)\in\mathbb{R}_*^{+2}$, then its iterated points $M_n:=(u_n,v_n)=F^n(M_0)$ are the solutions of the system of two order one difference equations in $\mathbb{R}_*^{+2}$

$$\begin{cases} u_{n+1}\,u_n=1+\dfrac{d}{v_n}, \\ v_{n+1}\,v_n=1+\dfrac{d}{u_{n+1}}, \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} u_{n+1} = \dfrac{v_n + d}{u_n v_n} \\ v_{n+1} = \dfrac{d u_n v_n + v_n + d}{v_n (v_n + d)}. \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Thus the orbit of M_0 is included into the cubic $\mathscr{C}_K$ passing through M_0, and the function G is an invariant for the system (6): the quantity $u_n + v_n + \dfrac{1}{u_n} + \dfrac{1}{v_n} + \dfrac{d}{u_n v_n}$ is independant of the integer n.

In fact, the map F is a particular case of the so called QRT-maps, introduced in [8] and particularly studied in [6]. But our goal is to study the behavior of the solutions of system (6), and in particular to find the possible periods of periodic points, and the chaotic behavior of the map F. For this, we prefer to use methods analogous to these used in [2] or [12] instead of to use the general theory of QRT-maps.

We start with the search of the fixed points of F, and of the critical points of the function G.

1.2 Existence, unicity and equality of the critical point of G and the fixed point of F

The equations of the critical points of G in $\mathbb{R}_*^{+2}$ are

$$x^2 y - y - d = 0, \quad y^2 x - x - d = 0.$$

By difference one has $(x-y)(xy+d) = 0$, and so $x = y := t$ satisfies the equation $t^3 - t - d = 0$ which has exactly one solution $\ell > 0$. We denote $L := (\ell, \ell)$ this critical point of G.

A fixed point (x, y) of F satisfies the same equations as these of the critical point of G, so it has the form (s, s) where s satisfies the same equation $s^3 - s - d = 0$. So we have already proved a part of the following result.

Lemma 1 *The map F has exactly one fixed point $L = (\ell, \ell)$ where $\ell \in \left]\, \max(1, \sqrt[3]{d}), 1 + \dfrac{d}{2} \,\right[$ is the positive solution of the equation*

$$t^3 - t - d = 0. \tag{8}$$

The function G tends to $+\infty$ at the infinite point of $\mathbb{R}_*^{+2}$, and has a unique critical point which is the equilibrium L, where G attains is strict minimum

$$K_m = \frac{4\ell + 3d}{\ell^2} = 3\ell + \frac{1}{\ell} > 4. \tag{9}$$

Proof. The set in $\mathbb{R}_*^{+^2}$ defined by $\{G \leq M\}$ is compact, for $M > 0$, because on it we have $x+y \leq M$ and $xy \geq \dfrac{d}{M}$. This proves that G tends to $+\infty$ at the infinite point of $\mathbb{R}_*^{+^2}$.

If $P(t) = t^3 - t - d$, then $P' = 3t^2 - 1$ vanishes at $\sqrt{\dfrac{1}{3}}$, and one has $P(1) = -d$ and $P(\sqrt[3]{d}) = -\sqrt[3]{d}$. Since the curve $y = P(t)$ is, on $\left[\sqrt{\dfrac{1}{3}}, +\infty\right[$, above its tangent at the point $(1,-d)$, it is easy to see that $\ell \in \left]\max(1, \sqrt[3]{d}), 1 + \dfrac{d}{2}\right[$. Formulas (9) are obvious, and the other points are previously proved. □

Now Lemma 1 has an important consequence, which is a direct application of a result of [3] generalized in [5].

Proposition 2 *The solutions of system (6) are permanent; if $(u_0, v_0) \neq L$, then the solution diverges. The equilibrium L is localy stable. Moreover, for $K > K_m$ the positive component $\mathscr{C}_K^+$ of the cubic $\mathscr{C}_K$ is diffeomorphic to the circle $\mathbb{T}$ and surrounds the point L.*

2 The group law on the cubic $\mathscr{C}_K$ and the dynamical system (2)

We will interpret the restriction of the map F to the positive part $\mathscr{C}_K^+$ of $\mathscr{C}_K$ with the chord-tangent law on the cubic.

2.1 Study of the cubic curve $\mathscr{C}_K$

The following lemma gives the essential facts about the cubic curve $\mathscr{C}_K$.

Lemma 3 *For $K > K_m$, we have the following properties:*
(1) *the cubic $\mathscr{C}_K$ is non-singular;*
(2) *the cubic $\mathscr{C}_K$ has three asymptotes: the two axis $x = 0$ and $y = 0$, and the line $x + y = K$, which is an inflection tangent at the infinite point $D := (1,-1,0)$ (in projective coordinates);*
(3) *the cubic $\mathscr{C}_K$ cuts the axes at the points $A := (-d, 0)$ and $B := (0, -d)$;*
(4) *the positive component $\mathscr{C}_K^+$ of the cubic $\mathscr{C}_K$ is located in the triangular domain $x > 0$, $y > 0$, $x + y < K$; the part $\mathscr{C}_K \setminus \left\{\mathscr{C}_K^+ \cup \{A\} \cup \{B\}\right\}$ of the cubic is contained in five triangular domains: $x < 0$, $y < 0$, $x + y > -d$; $x > 0$, $x + y < -d$; $y > 0$, $x + y < -d$; $x < 0$, $x + y > K$; $y < 0$, $x + y > K$. The part $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K} \setminus \mathscr{C}_K^+$ is connected in $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$ ($\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$ is the extension of $\mathscr{C}_K$ in $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$).*

Proof. Points (2) and (3) are easy. Point (4) becomes from Lemma 1 and from an other form for the equation of $\mathscr{C}_K$: $xy(x+y-K) = -(x+y+d)$, so one has only to compare the signs of xy, $x+y-K$ and $x+y+d$. See the form of the cubic curve in Figure 1, which is proved in Lemma 17 below, in Subsection 4.3. The set $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K} \setminus \mathscr{C}_K^+$ is connected by its infinite points.



Fig. 1

For point (1), the equations of a singular point (x,y,t) are $f'_x = 0$, $f'_y = 0$, $f'_t = 0$, where $f(x,y,t) = xy(x+y) + (x+y)t^2 + dt^3 - Kxyt$. We obtain

$$\begin{cases} 2xy + y^2 + t^2 - Kyt = 0, \\ 2yx + x^2 + t^2 - Kxt = 0, \\ 3dt^2 + 2(x+y)t - Kxy = 0. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

Obviously $t = 0$ is not possible. The difference of the two first equations gives the relation $(y-x)(x+y-Kt) = 0$.

First suppose that $x \neq y$. Then we have two different (and symmetric) real singular points on the inflection asymptote, and so the curve splits in this line and some

symmetric hyperbola with equation $xy-\alpha t^2=0$. We write the equation of $\mathscr{C}_K$ under the form

$$(xy-\alpha t^2)(x+y-Kt)+(\alpha+1)(x+y)t^2+(d-\alpha K)t^3=0.$$

If we take a finite point on the line $x+y-Kt=0$ we must have $(\alpha+1)K+d-\alpha K=0$, and thus $K=-d$, which is impossible.

Thus $x=y:=s$ satisfies the equations $Ks^2-4s-3d=0$ and $3s^2-Ks+1=0$. By elimination of K between these two equations, we obtain $s^3-s-d=0$. So $s=\ell$, and the first of the previous equations gives $K=\dfrac{4\ell+3d}{\ell^2}=K_m$. In this case, L is the singular point of $\mathscr{C}_{K_m}$: it is a real isolated point of the curve, and $\mathscr{C}^+_{K_m}$ reduces to $\{L\}$. □

2.2 The map F and the group law on the cubic $\mathscr{C}_K$

For $K>K_m$ there is on the cubic $\mathscr{C}_K$, more exactly on its extension $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_K}$ in $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$, a classical chord-tangent group law (see [1] or [7]). Denote H the infinite point in horizontal direction and V the infinite point in vertical direction. If we denote, for $P,Q\in\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_K}$, $P*Q$ the third point (finite or infinite) of $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_K}$ on the line (PQ) (or on the tangent to $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_K}$ at P if $P=Q$), the chord-tangent group law, the zero element of which is the point V, is

$$P\underset{V}{+}Q=(P*Q)*V. \tag{11}$$

Note that in this case V is not an inflection point of $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_K}$; so the relation of alignment of three points $P,Q,R\in\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_K}$ is $P\underset{V}{+}Q\underset{V}{+}R=V*V$. Moreover the real part $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$ in $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a subgroup of the complex cubic.

Now from the geometric definition of the map F given in Section 1.1 we deduce the following result for the map $\overline{F}$, extension of F to $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$ given by $\overline{F}(x,y,t)=\big(x(y+dt)^2,x(dxy+yt+dt^2),xy(y+dt)\big)$.

Proposition 4 *For $K>K_m$ the restriction of the map $\overline{F}$ to the cubic $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$ is nothing but the addition of the point H for the group law $\underset{V}{+}$: one has, for $M\in\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$*

$$\overline{F}(M)=M\underset{V}{+}H,\ \textit{and}\ M_n:=\overline{F}^n(M_0)=M_0\underset{V}{+}nH. \tag{12}$$

So a solution of (6) with starting point $M_0\in\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$ is periodic with minimal period n iff the infinite point H is exactly of order n in the group law $\underset{V}{+}$ on $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$. If a point $M_0\in\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$ is n-periodic, it is also the case for all the points of $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$. The set $\mathscr{C}^+_K$ is stable under the action of $\overline{F}$, which coincides with F on $\mathscr{C}^+_K$.

Proof. Relations (12) are obvious from the geometric definition of F in Section 1.1. Then $M \in \overline{\mathscr{C}}_K$ has minimal period n iff

$$nH = V \text{ and } kH \neq V \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n-1. \tag{13}$$

But this condition depends only on $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$, that is on K, and not on the particular point $M \in \overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$: this proves the last assertion of the proposition. □

Remark 5 *In [3], exactly the same cubic curve $\mathscr{C}_K$ was used, as an invariant level set for the order 2 difference equation $x_{n+2}x_n = 1 + \dfrac{a}{x_{n+1}}$, defined by the chord-tangent law $\underset{D}{+}$ on $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$, the zero element of which is the infinite point D on the asymptote $x+y=K$: one has in this case $(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) = (x_{n+1}, x_n) \underset{D}{+} V$.*

2.3 The group law on the cubic $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$ and periodic solutions of the system (6)

We will see elementary that no solution of (6) has minimal period 2, 3 nor 4 .

Lemma 6 *The only solutions of (6) which are 2, 3 or 4 periodic are constant (identical to the point L).*

Proof. If a solution is 2-periodic, we have

$$1 + \frac{d}{v_{n+1}} = u_{n+2}u_{n+1} = u_n u_{n+1} = 1 + \frac{d}{v_n},$$

and so v_n is constant, equal to v_0; then $1 + \dfrac{d}{u_{n+1}} = v_0^2$, and thus u_n is constant.

If a solution is 3-periodic, then $u_{n+2}u_{n+1}u_n = a$, a constant, and $v_{n+2}v_{n+1}v_n = b$, b constant. So we have $u_{n+2}\Big(1 + \dfrac{d}{v_n}\Big) = a$ and $v_{n+2}\Big(1 + \dfrac{d}{u_{n+1}}\Big) = b$, and thus $\dfrac{d}{v_n} = \dfrac{a}{u_{n+2}} - 1$ and $1 + \dfrac{d}{u_{n+1}} = \dfrac{b}{v_{n+2}} = \dfrac{b}{d}\Big(\dfrac{a}{u_{n+4}} - 1\Big) = \dfrac{b}{d}\Big(\dfrac{a}{u_{n+1}} - 1\Big)$; thus $u_{n+1} = \dfrac{ab - d^2}{b+d}$ is constant, and so v_n is also constant.

Now we will search if 4 may be a period of a solution of (6) by studying geometrically the equation $4H = V$.

First it is easy to see the opposite of a point X of $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$ for the group law $\underset{V}{+}$:

$$-X = X * B, \text{ where } B = V * V = (0, -d, 1). \tag{14}$$

We denote $A = H * H = (-d, 0, 1)$, and $\mathscr{S}$, $\mathscr{S}^+$ and $\mathscr{S}^-$ the three connected real affine components of $\mathscr{C}_K \setminus \mathscr{C}_K^+$ located in the three domains $\{x+y<0\}$, $\{x+y>K\}\cap\{x<0\}$ and $\{x+y>K\}\cap\{y<0\}$ (see Lemma 3 and Lemma 17).

We have easily $2H \in \mathscr{S}^+$. We see that $-2H = (2H) * B$ (from (14)) is on $\mathscr{S}$. So we have $2H \neq -2H$, that is $4H \neq V$: there is no 4-periodic solution of (6) except $\{L\}$. $\square$

In the following, we will transform the cubic curve $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$ in a standard cubic with equation $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$ and deduce of this that the restriction of the map F to $\mathscr{C}_K^+$ is conjugated to a rotation on the circle (remark that we know already that $\mathscr{C}_K^+$ is diffeomorphic to the circle, from Proposition 2). This result will give an other approach for the question of periodic solutions of (6). For algebraic consistency, we work with the version of the cubic in homogeneous complex coordinates, that is in $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$, and we denote as above $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$ this extension of the cubic, with equation $xy(x+y) + (x+y)t^2 + dt^3 - Kxyt = 0$.

3 Conjugation of $F_{|\mathscr{C}_K^+}$ to a rotation on the circle via Weierstrass' function $\wp$

We start with the projective transformation $\mathscr{T}_1$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} 2X = x+y, \\ 2Y = y-x, \\ T = x+y-Kt, \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

or $$x = X-Y,\ y = X+Y,\ t = \frac{2X-T}{K},$$

in order to transform the diagonal asymptote into the line at infinity and to use the symmetry of the curve. The new cubic has for equation

$$Y^2T = 8\frac{K+d}{K^3}X^3 + \frac{K^3-8K-12d}{K^3}X^2T + 2\frac{K+3d}{K^3}XT^2 - \frac{d}{K^3}T^3.$$

Now we make an affine transformation $\mathscr{T}_2$, where x, y, t are the new coordinates:

$$\begin{cases} X = \lambda x, \\ Y = \lambda^2 y, \\ T = \mu t, \end{cases} \qquad \text{where} \qquad \lambda = \frac{1}{K^{3/2}},\ \mu = 2\frac{K+d}{K^{3/2}}. \tag{16}$$

We obtain a new cubic with equation

$$y^2t = 4x^3 + (K^3-8K-12d)x^2t + 4(K+3d)(K+d)xt^2 - 4d(K+d)^2t^3.$$

We put

$$A := K^3 - 8K - 12d, \tag{17}$$

and make an horizontal translation $\mathscr{T}_3$ defined by (with new variables X, Y, T)

$$X = x + \frac{A}{12}t, \;\; Y = y, \;\; T = t. \tag{18}$$

We obtain a new cubic Γ_K with equation

$$Y^2T = 4X^3 - g_2XT^2 - g_3T^3, \tag{19}$$

where

$$g_2 = \frac{1}{12}(K^6 - 16K^4 - 24dK^3 + 16K^2); \tag{20}$$

the value of g_3 will be unuseful.



Fig. 2

We interpret these three changes of variables as transformations between cubics, and so $\phi := \mathscr{T}_3 \circ \mathscr{T}_2 \circ \mathscr{T}_1$ is a projective real transformation of $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$ onto Γ_K. So it transforms the two projective real connected parts of $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$ in two projective real connected parts of Γ_K, and so the positive compact part $\mathscr{C}_K^+$ of $\mathscr{C}_K$ onto the compact component Γ_K^+ of Γ_K. The three points of $\mathscr{C}_K$ on the diagonal, with coordinates f_1, f_2, f_3, become the three points of Γ_K on the X-axis, with coordinates e_1, e_2, e_3 (see Figure 2; we will see in lemma 9 that the "order" of the three points are inverted).

By the map ϕ, the addition of H on $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$ for the chord-tangent law $\underset{V}{+}$ with zero element V is conjugated to the addition of $\widetilde{H}$ on Γ_K for the chord-tangent law $\underset{\widetilde{V}}{+}$ with zero element $\widetilde{V}$, where $\widetilde{H} = \phi(H)$ and $\widetilde{V} = \phi(V)$ (this is a general fact, but easy in our case because ϕ is a linear map in homogeneous coordinates).

It is easy to see that we have

$$\widetilde{V}=\begin{pmatrix} K+d+\frac{A}{12} \\ (K+d)K^{3/2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \widetilde{H}=\begin{pmatrix} K+d+\frac{A}{12} \\ -(K+d)K^{3/2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{21}$$

If ω is the infinite point on Γ_K at the vertical direction, we know (see [1], [7]) that the standard group chord-tangent law $\underset{\omega}{+}$ on Γ_K with ω as zero element is isomorphic to the standard group law on $\mathbb{T}^2$ (if we take real and complex points on Γ_K), via the parametrization of Γ_K by the Weierstrass' function $\wp$. But the addition of $\widetilde{H}$ on Γ_K is not for its standard law, but for $\underset{\widetilde{V}}{+}$. So we will make a supplementary isomorphism on Γ_K in order to pass from a law to the other.

Recall that generally the chord-tangent law on a cubic, with zero element Z, is defined by

$$M \underset{Z}{+} P = (M*P)*Z,$$

where $U*V$ denotes the third point of the intersection of the line (UV) with the cubic.

Now we will define a group isomorphism ψ of $(\Gamma_K,\widetilde{V})$ onto (Γ_K,ω) by

$$\psi : \Gamma_K \to \Gamma_K : M \mapsto M \underset{\widetilde{V}}{+} \omega = (M*\omega)*\widetilde{V}. \tag{22}$$

It is obvious that we have $\psi(\widetilde{V})=\omega$. The fact that ψ transforms the addition $\underset{\widetilde{V}}{+}$ in the addition $\underset{\omega}{+}$ is not an obvious fact. It comes from a general fact about elliptic curves, because ψ is birational (see [10]). But in the particular case of the addition of a point in the Weierstrass' cubic, there is an elementary computer assisted proof, which is given in Appendix (the existence of an elementary proof is asserted in [10], page 21, without proof).

So the initial addition of H on $(\overline{\mathscr{C}_K},V)$ is conjugated by $\psi\circ\phi$ to the addition of $\widetilde{\widetilde{H}}=\psi(\widetilde{H})$ on (Γ_K,ω). Let be $X(K)$ the abscissa of the point $\widetilde{\widetilde{H}}$. It is known (see [2], where one uses the parametrization of Γ_K in the complex field by the Weierstrass' function) that the number of rotation of F restricted to $\mathscr{C}_K^+$ is the number $\theta_d(K)$ in $]0,1/2[$ given by the following integral formula which permits to invert the Weierstrass' function $\wp$

$$2\theta_d(K)=\frac{\int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{e_1-e_3}{\nu}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\sqrt{(1+u^2)(1+\varepsilon u^2)}}}{\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\sqrt{(1+u^2)(1+\varepsilon u^2)}}}, \tag{23}$$

where one has

$$\nu := X(K)-e_1>0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon := \frac{e_1-e_2}{e_1-e_3}>0 \tag{24}$$

(functions of K). So we have proved the following result

Theorem 7 *For $d > 0$ and $K \in]K_m, +\infty[$ the restriction of the map F to $\mathscr{C}_K^+$ is conjugated to the rotation on the circle $\mathbb{T}$ with angle $2\pi\theta_d(K) \in]0, \pi[$ given by formula (23). The map $K \mapsto \theta_d(K)$ is analytic on $]K_m, +\infty[$.*

Proof. The only thing to prove is the analyticity, and it results easily from the integral formula (23) because all the parameters in the integrals are analytic functions of K. □

4 The possible periods of periodic solutions of system (6)

We will study the number of rotation $\theta_d(K)$ given by formula (23) for $K > K_m$. Our goal is to find the limit of the function $K \mapsto \theta_d(K)$ when $K \to +\infty$ and when $K \to K_m$.

4.1 The limit of $\theta_d(K)$ at $+\infty$

It is first necessary to have asymptotic expressions of the numbers e_1, e_2, e_3 which appear in formulas (23) and (24), and which become from f_1, f_2, f_3.

Lemma 8 *For $K > K_m$, the points F_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$ of $\mathscr{C}_K$ on the diagonal exist, and their coordinates f_i satisfy the inequalities*

$$-\frac{d}{2} < f_1 < 0 < f_2 < \ell < f_3 < \frac{K}{2}.$$

When $K \to +\infty$, we have the asymptotic developments

$$f_1 \sim -\sqrt{\frac{d}{K}},\ f_2 \sim \sqrt{\frac{d}{K}},\ f_3 = \frac{K}{2} - \frac{2}{K} + o(\frac{1}{K}),\ f_2 - f_1 \sim 2\sqrt{\frac{d}{K}},\ 2f_3 - K \sim -\frac{4}{K}. \tag{25}$$

Proof. The f_i's are the solutions of the equation $2t^3 - Kt^2 + 2t + d = 0$, which has two positive roots because $K > \min G$, and a negative root. The inequalities of the lemma are obvious on Figures 1 and 2. Then it is easy to deduce (25) from the three relations

$$f_1 f_2 f_3 = -\frac{d}{2},\ f_1 f_2 + f_2 f_3 + f_3 f_1 = 1,\ f_1 + f_2 + f_3 = \frac{K}{2}. \quad \square$$

Now we calculate the e_i's.

Lemma 9 *We have the formula*

$$e_i = \frac{\mu}{\lambda}\frac{f_i}{2f_i - K} + \frac{A}{12}, \tag{26}$$

and in particular $e_3 < e_2 < e_1$.

Proof. We take the images of the points $(f_i, f_i, 1)$ by $\phi = \mathscr{T}_3 \circ \mathscr{T}_2 \circ \mathscr{T}_1$, and obtain easily (26). Then the decreasing monotony of the function $x \mapsto \dfrac{x}{2x-K}$ on $]-\infty, \dfrac{K}{2}[$ gives the final result. □

Now it is possible to obtain the asymptotic developments when $K \to +\infty$ of the parameters ε and $\sqrt{\dfrac{e_1 - e_3}{\nu}}$ which appear in the integrals of (23), and then to obtain the limit at $+\infty$ of $\theta_d(K)$.

Proposition 10 *One has*

$$\lim_{K \to +\infty} \theta_d(K) = \frac{3}{7}. \tag{27}$$

Proof. From formulas (16), (17) and (26), and relations (25), we have easily $\varepsilon \sim \dfrac{16\sqrt{d}}{K^{7/2}}$. We obtain also $e_1 - e_3 \sim \dfrac{K^3}{4}$. Now it is necessary to get the value of $X(K)$. Let α be the first coordinate of $\widetilde{V}$, that is $\alpha = K + d + \dfrac{A}{12}$. The quantity $2\alpha + X(K)$ is the sum of the roots of the equation of degree 3 which express the abscissas of the intersection of Γ_K with its tangent at the point $\widetilde{V}$; let $Y = pX + q$ this tangent, the equation giving the abscissas of the intersections is $(pX+q)^2 = 4X^3 - g_2X - g_3$, that is $4X^3 - p^2X^2 + \cdots = 0$. So we have $X(K) + 2\alpha = p^2/4$. But the tangent at $\widetilde{V}$ to Γ_K is the image by ϕ of the tangent at V to $\mathscr{C}_K$, the equation of which is $x = 0$. After transformation we obtain for the final tangent the equation $X - A/12 = \lambda Y$; so $p = 1/\lambda = K^{3/2}$, and then $X(K) + 2\alpha = \dfrac{K^3}{4}$. So we have $X(K) = K^3/4 - 2K - 2d - \dfrac{1}{6}(K^3 - 8K - 12d) = \dfrac{K^3}{12} - \dfrac{2}{3}K$.

Now easy calculations give $\nu = X(K) - e_1 = d - 2\Big(1 + \dfrac{d}{K}\Big)\sqrt{\dfrac{d}{K}}(1 + o(1)) \to d$ when $K \to +\infty$, and so $\dfrac{e_1 - e_3}{\nu} \sim \dfrac{K^3}{4d}$.

If we take $\varepsilon \to 0$ as the variable, we have $K \sim \dfrac{M_1}{\varepsilon^{2/7}}$, and $\sqrt{\dfrac{e_1 - e_3}{\nu}} \sim \dfrac{M_2}{\varepsilon^{3/7}}$, with M_1 and M_2 positive constants. At this point we can apply the following lemma of [2], and obtain the final result. □

Lemma 11 *Let be* $\lambda > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\beta > 0$. *For any map* $\varepsilon \mapsto \psi(\varepsilon) = o(1)$ *when* $\varepsilon \to 0$ *and satisfying* $\lambda + \psi(\varepsilon) > 0$, *we put*

$$N(\varepsilon,\lambda,\beta)=\int_0^{\frac{\lambda+\psi(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^\beta}}\frac{du}{\sqrt{(1+u^2)(1+\varepsilon u^2)}}\ \text{and}\ D(\varepsilon)=\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{du}{\sqrt{(1+u^2)(1+\varepsilon u^2)}}.$$

Then, when $\varepsilon\to 0$, we have $D(\varepsilon)\sim(1/2)\ln(1/\varepsilon)$, and, if $\beta<1/2$, $N(\varepsilon,\lambda,\beta)\sim\beta\ln(1/\varepsilon)$.

4.2 The limit of $\theta_d(K)$ at K_m

It is known (see [4] or [12]) that we have the formula

$$\lim_{K\to K_m}\theta_d(K)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\arccos\Big(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{trace}\big(DF(L)\big)\Big),\tag{28}$$

where DF is the jacobian matrix of F. With formulas (2) and (8), it is easy to find the following result.

Proposition 12 *We have*

$$\theta_m(d):=\lim_{K\to K_m}\theta_d(K)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\arccos\Big(\frac{1-2\ell^2-\ell^4}{2\ell^4}\Big)=\frac{1}{\pi}\arccos\Big(\frac{\ell^2-1}{2\ell^2}\Big),\tag{29}$$

and the function $d\mapsto\theta_m(d)$ is continuous on $]0,+\infty[$ and decreasing from $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{1}{3}$ when d increases from 0 to $+\infty$. We have

$$Im(\theta_d)\supset\langle\frac{3}{7},\theta_m(d)\rangle:=\Big]\min\Big(\frac{3}{7},\theta_m(d)\Big),\max\Big(\frac{3}{7},\theta_m(d)\Big)\Big[.\tag{30}$$

One has the equivalence

$$\theta_m(d)=[resp.<or>]\frac{3}{7}\iff d=[resp.>\ or<]d_0:=\frac{2\sin(\pi/14)}{[1-2\sin(\pi/14)]^{3/2}}\approx 1.076.\tag{31}$$

When $d=d_0$, we have $\ell=\ell_0:=\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{1-2\sin\frac{\pi}{14}}}$.

If $d\neq d_0$, the function $K\mapsto\theta_d(K)$ is not constant, because its image contains the interval $\langle\frac{3}{7},\theta_m(d)\rangle$. But if $d=d_0$, it would be possible that θ_d be constant, equal to $3/7$, and in this case all the solutions of (6) would be 7-periodic. But it is not the case.

Proposition 13 *The number 7 is not a common period to all the solutions of (6).*

Proof. If 7 would be a common period to every solution of (6), we would have $F^7=Id$, and so by (4), since $F^{-3}=S\circ F^3\circ S$, we would have the relation $F^4\circ S=S\circ F^3$. If we take $u_0=v_0$, we would have $(u_4,v_4)=(v_3,u_3)$, and this relation gives

$u_3v_3^2 - v_3 - d = 0$. We start with $(u_0, v_0) = (1,1)$, we calculate (u_3, v_3), and the previous relation gives an equation for number d. With a computer, this equation has for positive solution $d \approx 1.073$, which is different from d_0. This contradiction proves that when $d = d_0$ the solution with initial point $(1,1)$ is not 7-periodic. □

Corollary 14 *The map $K \mapsto \theta_{d_0}(K)$ is non constant and not one-to-one. There exists an open interval I containing d_0 such that for each $d \in I$ the map θ_d is not one-to-one and not constant.*

Proof. The first assertion results from Proposition 13. For the second, suppose for example that $K \mapsto \theta_{d_0}(K)$ has a maximum $M_0 > 3/7$ attained at $K_0 > K_m$. Since $d \mapsto \theta_d(K_0)$ and $d \mapsto \theta_m(d)$ are obviously continuous, it exists $\eta > 0$ such that for every $d \in]d_0 - \eta, d_0 + \eta[$ we have $\theta_d(K_0) > (M_0 + 3/7)/2$ and $\theta_m(d) < (M_0 + 3/7)/2$. Since $\lim_{K \to +\infty} \theta_d(K) = 3/7 < (M_0 + 3/7)/2$, the function θ_d attains the value $(M_0 + 3/7)/2$ twice (at least), and is not one-to-one. □

Problem. Is the function $K \mapsto \theta_d(K)$ one-to-one if $|d - d_0|$ is sufficiently large ?

4.3 The possible periods of periodic solutions

We know from Theorem 7 that the restriction of F to $\mathscr{C}_K^+$ is conjugated to a rotation on the circle with angle $2\pi\theta_d(K)$. So, if $\theta_d(K)$ is rational and equal to $\dfrac{p}{q}$ irreducible fraction, then the solutions with starting points on $\mathscr{C}_K^+$ are q-periodic, with q as minimal period, and the reciprocal is true.

In the contrary, if $\theta_d(K)$ is irrational, then every point of $\mathscr{C}_K^+$ has its orbit under the action of F which is dense in $\mathscr{C}_K^+$, and the converse is true.

How are distributed this two types of points, for a given d ? What periods can appear, for a given d ? The answers are given by the following result.

Theorem 15 *Let d be positive.*
(1) It exists a partition of $\mathbb{R}_*^{+2} \setminus \{L\}$ in two dense sets A and B, each of them union of invariant curves $\mathscr{C}_K^+$, such that every point in A is periodic and every point in B has a dense orbit in the positive part of the cubic which passes through it.
(2) It exists an integer $N(d)$ such that every integer $q \geq N(d)$ is the minimal period of some solution of (6).

Proof. **(1)** Put $]a,b[:= \mathrm{Im}(\overset{o}{\theta}_d)$. This interval is not empty ($a < b$) if $d \neq d_0$ because $\theta_m(d) \neq 3/7$, and if $d = d_0$ because $K \mapsto \theta_{d_0}(K)$ is not constant. Since $K \mapsto \theta_d(K)$ is analytical, the function θ_d is constant on no not-empty interval of $]K_m, +\infty[$. So from the density of rational and irrational numbers in $]a,b[$ it results easily the density of the two sets $\theta_d^{-1}(]a,b[\cap \mathbb{Q})$ and $\theta_d^{-1}\big(]a,b[\cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})\big)$ in $]K_m, +\infty[$. From this, one see that the two sets union of the curves $\mathscr{C}_K^+$ for K in the two previous dense sets are dense.

(2) The set of minimal periods of periodic solutions is exactly the set of integers q such that it exists a natural number p such that $\frac{p}{q}$ is in $\mathrm{Im}(\theta_d)$ and irreducible. So, if $\frac{p}{q}$ lies in $]a,b[$ and is irreducible, q is the minimal period of some solution of (6). For finding such irreducible fractions, we fix the integer q (the eventual period) and search for p a *prime number* in the interval $]qa,qb[$, which is not a factor of q. It is known that the number of the distinct prime factors of a number q is majorized by $1.38402\,\frac{\ln q}{\ln(\ln q)}$ (see [9]). Denote $\pi(x)$ the cardinal of prime numbers not greater than x. So the number $P(q)$ of prime integers between qa and qb which do not divide q is at least

$$\pi(qb-1)-\pi(qa)-1.38402\,\frac{\ln q}{\ln(\ln q)}.$$

From the prime number theorem (see [11]) we have $c(q)\frac{q}{\ln q}\le\pi(q)\le C(q)\frac{q}{\ln q}$, with $c(q)\le 1\le C(q)$ and $\lim_{q\to+\infty}c(q)=\lim_{q\to+\infty}C(q)=1$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}P &\ge c(qb-1)\frac{qb-1}{\ln(qb-1)}-C(qa)\frac{qa}{\ln(qa)}-1.38402\frac{\ln q}{\ln(\ln q)}\\ &=\big(c(qb-1)b-C(qa)a\big)\frac{q}{\ln q}(1+\eta(q))\end{aligned}$$

where $\eta(q)\to 0$ when $q\to+\infty$. So it exists a number $N(d)$ sufficiently large such that for every $q\ge N(d)$ one has $P(q)\ge 1$, and so there exists a prime number p such that $\frac{p}{q}\in]a,b[$ and p does not divide q. Thus q is the minimal period of some solution of (6). □

Now we will search the set of integers which are minimal period of some solution of (6) for some value of $d>0$. The principle is the same, and analogous to this of [2], but the interval is now explicit :$]1/3,1/2[$, and so it is possible to improve the inequalities in the using of prime number theorem.

Theorem 16 *Every integer $q\ge 11$ is the minimal period of some solution of system (6) for some $d>0$. Between 2 and 10, integers 2, 3, 4, 6, 10 are minimal period of no non-constant solution of (6), for no d, the others: 5, 7, 8, 9 are minimal periods.*

Proof. The proof is long, and we split it in four steps.

Step (1) of the proof. First, we have, from Proposition 12, the relation

$$\bigcup_{d>0}\mathrm{Im}(\theta_d)\supset]1/3,1/2[\setminus\{3/7\}. \tag{32}$$

Thus, for searching if an integer q is a minimal period of some solution of (6) for some $d>0$, it suffices to find some prime number $p\in]q/3,q/2[$ which is not a

factor of q. There is an exception if $p/q = 3/7$, but the only possibility for this is $q = 7$ and $p = 3$. So in the following we suppose $q \neq 7$.

We use an improvement of the prime number theorem, due to Rosser and Schoenfeld (see [11]):

$$\text{For } q \geq 52,\ \frac{q}{\ln q} \leq \pi(q) \leq \Big(1 + \frac{3}{2\ln q}\Big)\frac{q}{\ln q}.$$

So if the function

$$f(q) := \frac{(q/2)-1}{\ln((q/2)-1)} - \frac{q/3}{\ln(q/3)}\Big(1 + \frac{3}{2\ln(q/3)}\Big) - 1{,}38402\frac{\ln q}{\ln(\ln q)} - 1 \qquad (33)$$

is positive for some $q \geq 52$, it exists $p \in]q/3, q/2[$ which is prime and does not divide q. Thus q is a prime period of some solution of (6) for some $d > 0$. Of course the equivalent to $f(q)$ when $q \to +\infty$ is $(1/6)\dfrac{q}{\ln q}$, so it is true that $f(q) > 0$ for q sufficiently large. But we wish to have a quantitative version of this.

We put

$$f(x) := \frac{x/2-1}{\ln(x/2-1)} - \Big(1 + \frac{3}{2\ln(x/3)}\Big)\frac{x/3}{\ln(x/3)} - 1.38402\frac{\ln x}{\ln(\ln x)} - 1.$$

We have $f(780) < 0$ and $f(781) > 0$. From the graph of f on a computer, it seems that for every $x \geq 781$ we have $f(x) > 0$. We give a mathematical proof of this fact.

(a) We define the function $x \mapsto g_k(x) := k\dfrac{x}{\ln x} - 1.38402\dfrac{\ln x}{\ln(\ln x)} - 1$, for $k > 0$ to be chose. We study the monotonicity of g_k. We put $u := \ln x$, and so have

$$g_k(x) := h_k(u) = k\frac{e^u}{u} - 1.38402\frac{u}{\ln u} - 1,$$

and choose $u \geq u_0$, that is $x \geq x_0 := e^{u_0} \geq 52$ (and so $u_0 \geq 2$). We have

$$h_k' = ke^u\frac{u-1}{u^2} - 1.38402\frac{\ln u - 1}{\ln^2 u} \geq k(u_0-1)\frac{e^u}{u^2} - 1.38402\frac{1}{\ln u}$$

and so we have

$$\frac{1}{k} \leq \frac{(u_0-1)e^u \ln u}{1.38402u^2} := \phi(u) \Longrightarrow h_k'(u) > 0.$$

(b) Now we study the monotonicity of the function ϕ. First, $\big(\dfrac{e^u}{u^2}\big)' = \dfrac{e^u(u-2)}{u^3} \geq 0$ if $u \geq 2$. So ϕ is increasing and we have

$$\frac{1}{k} \leq \phi(u_0) \Longrightarrow \forall u \geq u_0,\ h_k'(u) > 0,$$

and so $g_k(x) > 0$ for $x \geq x_0$ if k satisfies the previous inequality and $g_k(x_0) > 0$.

(c) Now we search a condition which will imply the inequality

$$\forall x \geq x_0,\ \frac{x/2-1}{\ln(x/2-1)} - \Big(1+\frac{3}{2\ln(x/3)}\Big)\frac{x/3}{\ln(x/3)} \geq k\frac{x}{\ln x} \Longleftrightarrow \forall x \geq x_0,\ f(x) \geq g_k(x).$$

Easy majorizations and minorations prove that a sufficient condition is to have, with $x_0 \geq 5$,

$$M(x_0) := \frac{1-2/x_0}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\Big(1+\frac{3}{2\ln(x_0/3)}\Big)\frac{1}{1-\frac{\ln 3}{\ln x_0}} \geq k.$$

(d) So the goal is to find an integer x_0 such that $M(x_0) > \dfrac{1}{\phi(u_0)}$ for $u_0 = \ln x_0$. We choose $x_0 = 2500$ and calculate the values $M(2500) \approx 0.0253\ldots$ and $\dfrac{1}{\phi(\ln 2500)} \approx 0.00241\ldots$. So we can take $k = 0.025$, and have to verify the sign of $g_k(x_0)$: $g_k(2500) = h_k(\ln 2500) \approx 2.7242 > 0$.

(e) *In fine*, for $x \geq 2500$ we have $f(x) > 0$.

(f) Now, using a computer, we see that $f(q) > 0$ for every integer $q \in [781, 2500]$.

So our goal is to examine the integers in $[5, 780]$

First, remark the obvious inclusion:

$$\text{if } x \leq r \text{ and } I_q :=]q/3, q/2[,\ \ ]r/3, x/2[\subset \bigcap_{x \leq q \leq r} I_q. \tag{34}$$

We use this inclusion starting at $r = 780$: $780/3 = 260$; the smallest prime number majorizing 260 is $p = 263$, so we take $x/2 = 264$, and so $x = 528$. From (34), we have $p \in]780/3, 528/2[\subset]q/3, q/2[$ for every $q \in [528, 780]$, and p does not divide such a q, which is so a minimal period. Now, we use the same method starting from 527: $527/3 \approx 175.66$, and the smallest prime number majorizing this number is $p = 179$, so we take $x/2 = 180$, $x = 360$, and we can conclude that for all the integers $q \in [360, 527]$, the numbers p/q are in $]1/3, 1/2[$ and irreducible (because $p/q = p/pq' = 1/q' \in]1/3, 1/2[$ is not possible). So any integer in [360, 527] is a minimal period.

We continue this procedure, and finish with the fact that all the integers $q \geq 24$ are minimal periods. The integers between 5 and 23 are examined one by one, and only for 6 and 10 there is no irreducible fraction $p/6$ nor $p/10$ in $]1/3, 1/2[$. Of course $3/7 \in]1/3, 1/2[$, but it is not *a priori* in the set $\bigcup_{d>0} \mathrm{Im}(\theta_d)$.

Step (2) of the proof. We prove that 7 is a minimal period. We have to use the following result, which was previously already used.

Lemma 17 *For $K > K_m$, the places and the shapes of the different branches of the cubic $\mathscr{C}_K$ are the same as in Figure 1.*

This Lemma will be proved after the end of the proof of Theorem 16.

We must also use the following result.

Lemma 18 *For every integer $n \geq 0$ we have the following formula for the group law on the curve $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$*

$$-nH = S[(n+1)H], \tag{35}$$

where S is the symmetry with respect to the diagonal.

Proof. We denote (R_n) this relation. For $n = 0$, (R_0) is $V = S(H)$, which is true. Suppose that (R_{n-1}) is true: $-(n-1)H = S(nH)$. Since $F(M) = M \underset{V}{+} H$ and $F^{-1}(M) = M \underset{V}{-} H$, we have, using (R_{n-1}) and formula (4),

$$-nH = F^{-1}[-(n-1)H] = F^{-1}[S(nH)] = (S \circ F)(nH) = S[(n+1)H].$$

This recursion proves the lemma. □

Now the condition for having 7 as a period is $7H = V$ or $-3H = 4H$. But by Lemma 18, $-3H = S(4H)$, and so the condition is exactly $4H = S(4H)$, that is $4H = F_1$ or $4H = D$ (it is easy to see that each $nH \in \overline{\mathscr{C}_K} \setminus \mathscr{C}_K^+$). Let be N_0 the point of $\mathscr{S}^+$ with horizontal tangent (where y is minimum on $\mathscr{S}^+$), which exists because $\mathscr{S}^+$ is a convex curve, by Lemma 17 and Figure 1. If $2H \neq N_0$, one see easily that $4H = (2H * 2H) * V$ is finite and on the branches $\mathscr{S}^+$ or $\mathscr{S}^-$, and so cannot be equal to F_1. So the unique possibility is $2H = N_0$, and then $4H = D$. Conversely, if $4H = D$, then $2H = N_0$, that is N_0 is in the vertical line through point A.

But it is easy to calculate the second coordinate of $2H$:

$$y_{2H} = \frac{d^2 + Kd + 1}{d}. \tag{36}$$

So the condition for having 7 as a period is $f'_x(-d, y_{2H}) = 0$, that is

$$Kd(1-d^2) = d^4 - d^2 - 1. \tag{37}$$

So 7 is a period if and only if (37) is true for some $d > 0$ and $K > K_m$. So we must have

$$1 < d < \sqrt{\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}}. \tag{38}$$

And now we have $K = \dfrac{d^4 - d^2 - 1}{d(1-d^2)}$. So 7 is a period iff

$$\frac{d^4 - d^2 - 1}{d(1-d^2)} > K_m = 3\ell + \frac{1}{\ell}.$$

Since the map $d \mapsto \ell$ is bijective increasing from 1 to $+\infty$ when d increases from 0 to $+\infty$, this condition can be translated as

$$\frac{(\ell^3-\ell)^4-(\ell^3-\ell)^2-1}{(\ell^3-\ell)[1-(\ell^3-\ell)^2]}>3\ell+\frac{1}{\ell}.$$

We put $\ell^2:=x$ and obtain the condition $u(x):=x^3-x^2-2x+1<0$. It is easy to see that the roots of u satisfy the inequalities $x_2<0<x_1<1<x_m$. So the condition $u(x)<0$, for $x>1$, is $x<x_m=1.80193377$ and then $\ell<\ell_m=1.34236$, and so

$$1<d<d_m=1,07649\cdots<\sqrt{\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}}=1.2220\cdots$$

Then it is exactly for $1<d<d_m$ that it exists a (unique) $K(d):=\dfrac{d^4-d^2-1}{d(1-d^2)}>K_m$ such that 7 is a period for the solutions of (6) which are on the curve $\mathscr{C}_{K(d)}$.

Remark 19 *With some calculation it is possible to see that one has*

$$d_m=d_0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \ell_m=\ell_0. \tag{39}$$

In fact, look at the equation $w^7+1=0$, with $w\neq -1$. By setting $X:=w+\dfrac{1}{w}$, this equation is equivalent to the new equation $X^3-X^2-2X+1=0$, whose roots are

$$2\cos\frac{5\pi}{7}=-2\sin\frac{3\pi}{14}<0<2\cos\frac{3\pi}{7}=2\sin\frac{\pi}{14}<1<2\cos\frac{\pi}{7}=2\sin\frac{5\pi}{14}.$$

So $\ell_m^2=2\sin\dfrac{5\pi}{14}$, and we have easily the relation $2\sin\dfrac{5\pi}{14}=\dfrac{1}{1-2\sin\frac{\pi}{14}}=\ell_0^2$ (see Proposition 12), because $2\sin\dfrac{5\pi}{14}-2\sin\dfrac{3\pi}{14}+2\sin\dfrac{\pi}{14}=1$, sum of the roots of the equation $X^3-X^2-2X+1=0$.

Remark 20 *There is also a direct simple analytic proof that if d is near d_0 the function $K\mapsto\theta_d(K)$ attains the value 3/7, by using the method of the proof of Corollary 14 (with the intermediate value theorem). The comparizon of this method with this previous geometric one and Remark 19 gives the following qualitative result :*

$$\forall K>K_m,\ \theta_{d_0}(K)\leq 3/7. \tag{40}$$

Step (3) of the proof. We solve the case $q=6$ by geometric method. First, we remark that $2n$ is a period of solutions on $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$ iff $2nH=V$, or $nH=-nH$ in the group law, that is $nH=(nH)*B$ (see formula (14)), and this is equivalent to the fact that B belongs to the tangent to $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$ at the point nH. So, in the case of $q=6=2\times 3$, we will see that B does not belong to the tangent at the point $3H$.

First we localize $3H$. Since $H*H=A=(-d,0)$, we have $2H=A*V\in\mathscr{S}^+$ (see the proof of Lemma 6). So $(2H)*H\in\mathscr{S}^+$, and $3H=(2H)+H=[(2H)*H]*V$ is

the vertical projection of $(2H)*H$ on $\mathscr{S}$, and so $3H$ is on the arc $\widehat{HAB}$ of $\mathscr{S}$. Now we use the following lemma.

Lemma 21 *There is exactly (for $K > K_m$) two real and finite inflection points I, J on $\mathscr{C}_K$, symmetric with respect to the diagonal, situated on $\mathscr{S}$, in the second and the fourth quadrant, I on the arc $\widehat{HA}$ and J on the arc $\widehat{BV}$. The arc $\widehat{IABJ}$ of $\mathscr{S}$ is strictly convex, the arcs $\widehat{HI}$ and $\widehat{JV}$ also, and it is also the case for $\mathscr{S}^+$ and $\mathscr{S}^-$.*

With this lemma, we see that if $3H \in \widehat{IB}$, since $3H \neq B$, the tangent at $3H$ does not cut, by convexity, the arc $\widehat{IB}$, and so B does not belong to this tangent. If now $3H \in \widehat{HI}$, by convexity the tangent at $3H$ has positive slope, and cannot pass to B. So in each case we conclude that $3H \neq -3H$, and so 6 is not a minimal period.

Proof of Lemma 21. The transformation ϕ of $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$ onto Γ_K is real and projective, and so preserves the real inflection points. So we search the inflection points of Γ_K.

The positive part ($y \geq 0$) of this curve is defined by $y = \sqrt{P(x)}$, where $P(x) = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3 = 4(x-e_1)(x-e_2)(x-e_3)$. One shows easily that the roots of $(\sqrt{P})''$ are those of $2PP'' - P'^2$. Let h be this function. It is a degree 4 polynomial, and $h(e_i) = -P'^2(e_i) < 0$. But $h' = 2PP''' = 48P$, which is zero at the e_i's. So the function h is negative on $[e_3, e_1]$ and has only two real roots. The smallest gives no real inflection point, but the greatest gives two inflection points on the unbounded branch of Γ_K, symmetric with respect to the x-axis. When we transform these two points by ϕ^{-1}, we obtain exactly two real finite inflection points I and J, symmetric with respect to the diagonal. But, with Lemma 17, there are necessary at least one inflection point on the arc $\widehat{HA}$ and on the arc $\widehat{BV}$. So these points are exactly I and J. The unicity of this inflection points and Lemma 17 give the results on concavity and convexity asserted in Lemma 21. □

Step (4) of the proof. Now we study if 10 is a minimal period (it is a period because 5 is period). So we suppose that $5H \neq V$ and $10H = V$, that is $5H = -5H = (5H)*B$, or : B belongs to the tangent to $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$ at $5H$. We examine two cases.

(4a) $3H = A$, that is $(2H)*H$ is on the vertical line through A, or $2H = N_0$ (see step (2) of the proof). In this case we have $5H = \big((3H)*(2H)\big)*V = V*V = B$ and then $B*B = B*5H = 5H = B$. Hence B is an inflection point, and this is impossible by Lemmas 17 and 21.

(4b) $3H \neq A$, that is $2H \neq N_0$. We look at two subcases.

(i) The point $2H$ is at the left side of N_0. In this case $(3H)*(2H) \in \widehat{BV}$, and then $5H \in \widehat{BV}$. But by hypothesis $5H \neq V$ and $5H$ cannot be equal to B by (4a). So $5H$ belongs to the *open* arc $\widehat{BJV}$. If $5H \in \widehat{JV}$, the tangent at $5H$ has a positive slope and cannot pass through B, and if $5H \in \widehat{BJ}$, the strict convexity of this arc proves that the tangent at $5H$ cannot pass through B.

(ii) The point $2H$ is at the right side of N_0. In this case $5H \in \mathscr{S}^+$, and no tangent at this branch passes through B, except if $5H = V$, which is excluded by hypothesis.

So in every case of (4b) the tangent at $5H$ cannot pass through B, and then 10 is not a minimal period.

This achieves the proof of Theorem 16. □

Proof of Lemma 17. We will use the form of the curve Γ_K, or more exactly of the curve Γ_K^* whose horizontal translated (by $\frac{A}{12}$, see formulas (14), (16), (19)) is Γ_K, that is $\Gamma_K^* = \mathscr{T}_2 \circ \mathscr{T}_1(\overline{\mathscr{C}_K})$. We denote $V^* = \mathscr{T}_2 \circ \mathscr{T}_1(V)$, and with the same notation the image in Γ_K^* of other remarkable points of $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$. With previous (see formula (22)) and new easy calculations, we find :

$$\begin{cases} A^* = (d, -dK^{3/2}, 1), \\ B^* = (d, dK^{3/2}, 1), \\ E_1^* = (f_1^*, 0, 1), \\ H^* = (K+d, -(K+d)K^{3/2}, 1), \\ V^* = (K+d, (K+d)K^{3/2}, 1), \\ D^* = (0, 1, 0), \end{cases} \tag{41}$$

with $0 < f_1^* < d$ (use the inequalities of Lemma 8 and formula (26)). So these points are easy to place on the unbounded branch of the curve Γ_K^*, because $K > 0$: see Figure 3.



Fig. 3



Fig. 3bis

Now we will locate the two inflection points J^* (on the positive part of the branch) and I^* (on the negative part), which have the same abscissa and opposite second coordinates (see the proof of lemma 9). We know that the three arcs $\widehat{D^*I^*}$, $\widehat{I^*J^*}$ and $\widehat{J^*D^*}$ are strictly convex, and that we have the relation $B^* = V^* * V^*$ which means that the tangent to the curve at V^* cuts again the curve at the point B^*. If we suppose that J^* was on the arc $\widehat{V^*D^*}$, by the convexity of the arc $\widehat{E_1^*J^*}$, the tangent at V^*

would cut the curve at the point $B^* \in \overset{\frown}{J^*D^*} \subset \overset{\frown}{V^*J^*D^*}$, which is impossible, because we have $x_{B^*} < x_{V^*}$ by (41) (see Figure 3bis).

So the order of the points on the arc $\overset{\frown}{E_1^*D^*}$ is strict and is $E_1^* < B^* < J^* < V^* < D^*$, where "$P < Q$" means that P is at left of Q and $P \neq Q$. For transporting the different connected arcs into the initial curve $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$, we use an easy fact :

Fact. The slope p of the tangent to $\overline{\mathscr{C}_K}$ at the point $B = (0, -d, 1)$ is, for $K > 0$,

$$p = -(d^2 + Kd + 1) < -1. \tag{42}$$

Now, with this tangent, it is clear that the arc $\overset{\frown}{E_1BJV}$ is as in Figure 1, and also the arc $\overset{\frown}{E_1AIH}$ by symmetry.

For the two symmetric and convex arcs $\overset{\frown}{VD}$ and $\overset{\frown}{DH}$, we make a little calculation: we put, in the equation of $\mathscr{C}_K$, $x+y-K=t$; we obtain $ty^2+t(t-K)y-(t+K+d)=0$. So, if $t \to 0$, we have

$$y = \frac{\sqrt{K+d}}{\sqrt{t}}(1+o(1)),$$

which is defined only for $t > 0$, with $y \to \infty$ when $t \to 0_+$. So the two connected convex arcs with asymptotes $x+y-K=0$, $x=0$ or $y=0$ are above the line $x+y-K=0$: it is the case at $\pm\infty$, and this line does not cut the curve. So we have the form of Figure 1. □

Remark 22 *The necessity of Lemma 17 is justified by the other forms of the curve in some cases (in fact when $K \leq -d$), see for example the following Figure 4.*



Fig. 4

Remark 23 *It results of the relation $\widetilde{B} = \widetilde{V} * \widetilde{V}$ (which comes by translation from the formula $B^* = V^* * V^*$) that we have*

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{H}} = \psi(\widetilde{H}) = \widetilde{H} \underset{\widetilde{V}}{+} \omega = (\widetilde{H} * \omega) * \widetilde{V} = \widetilde{V} * \widetilde{V} = \widetilde{B} = (\frac{K^3}{12} - \frac{2K}{3}, dK^{3/2}, 1)$$

(see (41) and the proof of Proposition 10).

5 Chaotic behaviour of the dynamical system (2)

In this part we will see that the dynamical system (2) in $\mathbb{R}_*^{+2}$ associated to the homographic system of difference equations (6) has uniform sensitiveness to initial conditions on every compact set not containing the equilibrium L.

Theorem 24 *For every compact set $\mathscr{K} \subset \mathbb{R}_*^{+2}$ not containing the equilibrium L, it exists a number $\delta(\mathscr{K}) > 0$ such that for every point $M \in \mathscr{K}$ and every neighborhood W of M it exists $M' \in W$ such that $dist(F^n(M), F^n(M') \geq \delta(\mathscr{K})$ for infinitely many integers n.*

Of course, in this assertion, "*dist*" denote the euclidean distance in $\mathbb{R}_*^{+2}$.

The proof will use a general topological result (probably well known) on "dynamical systems fibring in rotations on $\mathbb{T}$", the critical point for using this result being the proof of some uniformity in the inverse conjugation of previous Section 3.

Proposition 25 *Let X be a metric space. Let be also $\theta : X \to \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ a continuous map such that for every non-empty open set U, the set $\theta(U)$ contains a non-empty open set. Define the map*

$$g : X \times \mathbb{T} \to X \times \mathbb{T} : (x, \alpha) \to (x, \alpha + \theta(x)).$$

Then the dynamical system $(X \times \mathbb{T}, g)$ has δ-sensitiveness to initial conditions for every $\delta \in]0, 1/2[$.

Proof. Although this is probably a classical result, we give a short proof for the completeness. Let be $M := (x_0, \alpha_0) \in X$, and let be $W := U \times I$ an open neighborhood of (x_0, α_0). Let be J a non-empty open interval contained in $\theta(U)$. It is possible to find in J a point θ_1 such that in $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ the number $|\theta_1 - \theta(x_0)|$ is irrational and in]0,1[. It exists $x' \in U$ such that $\theta(x') = \theta_1$. We put $M' = (x', \alpha_0) \in W$, and calculate the distance between the points $g^n(M)$ and $g^n(M')$: $\widetilde{d}\big(g^n(M), g^n(M')\big) = d_X(x, x') + ||n(\theta_1 - \theta(x_0))||$ ($\widetilde{d}$ denote the ℓ^1-distance in the product $X \times \mathbb{T}$, and $||.||$ the distance to the point 0 in $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$). Let be $\delta \in]0, 1/2[$. Since $\theta_1 - \theta(x_0)$ is irrational, for infinitely many values of n we have $||n(\theta_1 - \theta(x_0))|| > \delta$, and so



Fig. 5

$\widetilde{d}\big(g^n(M), g^n(M')\big) \geq \delta$ for infinitely many n: this is the δ-sensitiveness to initial conditions of the dynamical system $(X \times \mathbb{T}, g)$. □

Now it is easy to prove the following fact:

Fact. Let be (X, g) a dynamical system which has δ-sensitiveness to initial conditions, where X is a *compact* metric space. Let be Y another compact metric space, and $h : X \to Y$ a homeomorphism. Then the conjugated dynamical system $(Y, \widetilde{g})$ by h ($\widetilde{g} = h \circ g \circ h^{-1}$) has η-sensitiveness to initial conditions for some $\eta > 0$.

The last argument for the proof of theorem 4 will be the following result.

Lemma 26 *Let be $\wp_K$ the Weierstrass' function built with the numbers $g_2(K)$ and $g_3(K)$ of formulas (20) and (21), and defined by the formula*

$$\wp_K(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_K, \lambda \neq (0,0)} \Big(\frac{1}{(z-\lambda)^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \Big), \tag{43}$$

where Λ_K is the lattice of the points of $\mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\Lambda_K := \{2p\omega_1(K) + 2iq\omega_2(K) \,|\, (p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\},$$

with

$$\omega_1(K) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e_1 - e_3}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{du}{\sqrt{(1+u^2)(1+\varepsilon u^2)}} \tag{44}$$

and

$$\omega_2(K) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e_1 - e_3}} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{du}{\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon \sin^2 u}}, \tag{45}$$

where $e_1, e_2, e_3, \varepsilon$ are as in formula (24). Then we have the property:

$$\wp_K(2x\omega_1(K) + i\omega_2(K)) \to \wp_{K_0}(2x\omega_1(K_0) + i\omega_2(K_0)) \text{ uniformly for } x \in [0,1]$$

when $K \to K_0$, and the same property for the derivative $\wp'_K$.

Sketch of the proof of the lemma. For formulas (44) and (45) we refer to [1] or [2]. The segments $H_K := \{2x\omega_1(K) + i\omega_2(K) \,|\, x \in [0,1]\}$, when $K \to K_0$, are contained in a fixed compact set disjoint from Λ_{K_0} and from the Λ_K if K is near to K_0. So, by some calculations, one can easily have uniformly for $x \in [0,1]$ an upper bound for the remainder of the series (43). For the finite part of the sum, we use the continuity in K, uniformly with respect to x, of each of its terms, by using the continuity of the functions $K \mapsto e_j(K)$, $K \mapsto \omega_i(K)$. $\square$

Sketch of the proof of the theorem. It is well known (see [1]) that for x varying in $[0,1]$ the formula

$$\mathscr{P}_K(x) := \big(\wp_K(2x\omega_1(K) + i\omega_2(K), \wp'_K(2x\omega_1(K) + i\omega_2(K)\big)$$

gives a one-to-one parametrization of the bounded component Γ_K^+ of Γ_K. From now on we denote ϕ_K and ψ_K the maps defined by formulas (15), (16), (18) and (22), for marking their dependance on K. Now, the map $z \mapsto (\psi_K \circ \phi_K)^{-1}(z) = (\psi_K \circ \mathscr{T}_3 \circ \mathscr{T}_2 \circ \mathscr{T}_1)^{-1}(z)$ depends continuously on K, uniformly for z in a given compact in $\mathbb{R}_*^{+2}$ (where the Γ_K^+ are situated for K near K_0).

So, if we choose K_1, K_2 such that $K_m < K_1 < K_2$, the map h defined on $[K_1,K_2] \times \mathbb{T}$ by

$$h(K,x) = (\psi_K \circ \phi_K)^{-1} \circ \mathscr{P}_K(x)$$

is a homeomorphism of $[K_1,K_2] \times \mathbb{T}$ onto the set

$$\Delta(K_1,K_2) := \{K_1 \le G \le K_2\} = \bigcup_{K_1 \le K \le K_2} \mathscr{C}_K^+.$$



Fig. 6

But the map $F : \Delta(K_1,K_2) \to \Delta(K_1,K_2)$ is conjugated by h to the map $g : [K_1,K_2] \times \mathbb{T} \to [K_1,K_2] \times \mathbb{T} : (K,x) \mapsto (K, x + \theta_d(K))$ (see Theorem 7 and figure 6). So by Lemma 26, the fact and Proposition 25, the dynamical system $\big(\Delta(K_1,K_2), F\big)$ has a δ-sensitiveness to initial conditions for some $\delta > 0$. Now, if a compact set

$\mathscr{K} \subset \mathbb{R}_*^{+^2}$ does not contain the equilibrium L, put $K_1 = \min_{\mathscr{K}} G$ and $K_2 = \max_{\mathscr{K}} G$. Then $\mathscr{K} \subset \Delta(K_1, K_2)$, and $F_{|_{\mathscr{K}}}$ has a δ-sensitiveness to initial conditions. □

Remark 27 *The proof of theorem 4 gives in fact an improvement to the assertion on "pointwise" chaotic behavior of the dynamical systems studied in [2], [3], [4].*

Appendix. An assisted computer proof that the map ψ defined by formula (22) is an isomorphism between the two chord-tangent group laws

We start with a standard regular cubic $\mathscr{C}$ in Weierstrass' form: $y^2 = 4x^3 + ax + b$, with a given point Z on it, we denote ω the infinite point of $\mathscr{C}$ in vertical direction, and suppose $Z \neq \omega$. We denote $\underset{Z}{+}$ the addition for the chord-tangent law on $\mathscr{C}$ with zero element Z. So we have the following result.

Proposition 28 *The map $\psi : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C} : M \mapsto M \underset{Z}{+} \omega$ is an isomorphism of the chord-tangent law on $\mathscr{C}$ with unit element Z on the standard chord-tangent law on $\mathscr{C}$ with unit element ω.*

The following result is asserted without proof in [10] page 21.

Corollary 29 *Let be A and Z two different points on the regular cubic $y^2 = 4x^3 + ax + b$. Then the two chord-tangent group laws with unit elements Z and A are isomorphic.*

A computer assisted proof of Proposition 28 First, we remark that the map ψ is obviously an isomorphism of the group $(\mathscr{C}, \underset{Z}{+}, Z)$ onto the group $(\mathscr{C}, \times, \omega)$, where

$$P \times Q := P \underset{Z}{+} Q \underset{Z}{+} \left(\underset{Z}{-} \omega \right). \tag{46}$$

We remark also that we have

$$\left(\underset{Z}{-} \omega \right) = (Z * Z) * \omega := W. \tag{47}$$

Now we have to show that the law $\times$ coincides with the law $\underset{\omega}{+}$, that is

$$(P * Q) * \omega = \left\{ [(P * Q) * Z] * W \right\} * Z$$

for every P, Q. This is equivalent to the relation

$$\forall R \in \mathscr{C},\ R * \omega = [(R * Z) * W] * Z. \tag{48}$$

For proving relation (48) we use Maple, and present a sequence of calculation instructions which gives the result, with comments.

We put $Z = (u, v)$, with $v \geq 0$ (this is possible by the symmetry of the curve). We put $W = (U, V)$, and denote $R = (x, y)$. We have $v = \sqrt{4u^3 + au + b}$, and two

possibilities $y=\sqrt{4x^3+ax+b}$ or $y=-\sqrt{4x^3+ax+b}$, so we write two lists of instructions, depending on the sign of y. We denote

$$R*Z:=R_1=(x_1,y_1),\ R_1*W:=R_2=(x_2,y_2),\ R_2*Z:=R_3=(X,Y).$$

Our goal is to prove that $R_3=R*\omega$, that is $X=x$ and $Y=-y$. We remark that if a line $y=px+q$ cuts the curve at three points, their abscisses satisfy the relation $x_1+x_2+x_3=p^2/4$ (see the proof of Proposition 10).

The following figure shows the geometric construction.



Fig. 7

First list of Maple instructions

$v:=\text{sqrt}(4*u^3+a*u+b);$ $\quad [Z=(u,v)\in\mathscr{C}]$

$y:=\text{sqrt}(4*x^3+a*x+b);$ $\quad [R=(x,y)\in\mathscr{C}]$

$p:=\text{simplify}((12*u^2+a)/(2v));$
$q:=\text{simplify}(v-p*u);$ $\quad [y=px+q \text{ is the tangent to } \mathscr{C} \text{ at } Z]$

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} U := \text{simplify}(p^2/4 - 2*u); \\ V := \text{simplify}(-(p*U+q)); \end{array}\right\} \quad [\text{coordinates of } W]$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} p[1] := \text{simplify}((y-v)/(x-u)); \\ q[1] := \text{simplify}(v - p[1]*u); \end{array}\right\} \quad [y = p_1x + q_1 \text{ is the line } (RZ)]$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} x[1] := \text{simplify}(p[1]^2/4 - x - u); \\ y[1] := \text{simplify}(p[1]*x[1] + q[1]); \end{array}\right\} \quad [\text{coordinates of } R_1]$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} p[2] := \text{simplify}((y[1]-V)/(x[1]-U)); \\ q[2] := \text{simplify}(V - p[2]*U); \end{array}\right\} \quad [y = p_2x + q_2 \text{ is the line } (R_1W)]$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} x[2] := \text{simplify}(p[2]^2/4 - x[1] - U); \\ y[2] := \text{simplify}(p[2]*x[2] + q[2]); \end{array}\right\} \quad [\text{coordinates of } R_2]$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} p[3] := \text{simplify}((y[2]-v)/(x[2]-u)); \\ q[3] := \text{simplify}(v - p[3]*u); \end{array}\right\} \quad [y = p_3x + q_3 \text{ is the line } (R_2Z)]$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} X := \text{simplify}(p[3]^2/4 - u - x[2]); \\ Y := \text{simplify}(p[3]*X + q[3]); \end{array}\right\} \quad [\text{coordinates of } R_3]$$

At this point, we see that X has the form $X = \dfrac{N.x}{D^2}$. So we continue with

H:= simplify(D^2);
A:= simplify(X∗H-H∗x);

and obtain $A = 0$, that is $X = x$. Now we substitute x to X in the formula giving Y, obtain Y', and then make

B:= simplify(Y'∗sqrt(4∗x^3+a∗x+b)+4∗x^3+a∗x+b);

We obtain $B = 0$, that is $Y = Y' = -\sqrt{4x^3+ax+b} = -y$, and so $X = x$ and $Y = -y$, that is the result.

Now we write the same list of instructions, but with $y = -\sqrt{4x^3+ax+b}$ and an obvious modification in B, and obtain also the same result. □

References

[1] P. Appel et E. Lacour, *Principes de la théorie des fonctions elliptiques*, 1897, Paris, Gauthier-Villars.

[2] G. Bastien and M. Rogalski, *Global Behaviour of the Solutions of Lyness' Difference Equations*, J. of Difference Equations and Appl., 2004, vol. 10, p. 977-1003.

[3] G. Bastien and M. Rogalski, *On some algebraic difference equations $u_{n+2}u_n = \psi(u_{n+1})$ in $\mathbb{R}_*^+$, related to families of conics or cubics : generalization of the Lyness'*

sequences, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 300 (2004), 303-333.

[4] G. Bastien and M. Rogalski, *On the algebraic difference equations* $u_{n+2}+u_n = \psi(u_{n+1})$ *in* $\mathbb{R}$*, related to a family of elliptic quartics in the plane*, J. Math.Anal. Appl. 326 (2007) 822-844.

[5] G. Bastien and M. Rogalski, *Level sets lemmas and unicity of critical point of invariants, tools for local stability and topological properties of dynamical systems*, Sarajevo Journal of Math., vol. 8 (21) (2012), 273-282.

[6] J. Duistermaat, *Discrete Integrable Systems. QRT Maps and Elliptic Surfaces*, Springer (2010).

[7] D. Husemoller, *Elliptic Curves*, 1987, Springer-Verlag, USA New-York.

[8] G.R.W. Quispel, J. A. G. Roberts and C. J. Thompson, *Integrable mappings and soliton equations*, Physics Letters A: 126 (1988), 419-421.

[9] G. Robin, *Estimation de la fonction de Tchebychef* Θ *sur le k-ième nombre premier et grandes valeurs de la fonction* $\omega(n)$ *nombre de diviseurs premiers de n*, Acta Arithmetica XLII (1983), p. 367-389.

[10] J. H. Silverman and J. Tate, *Rational points on elliptic curves*, Springer.

[11] G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction à la théorie analytique et probabiliste des nombres*, Cours spécialisé n°1, Collection Société Mathématique de France, 1995, Paris.

[12] E. C. Zeeman, *Geometric unfolding of a difference equation*, unpublished paper (1996).